NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JOHN GRIFFITH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK, CHURCH OF THE HOLY CROSS, FRANCISCAN FRIARS – HOLY NAME PROVINCE (NY), AND SISTERS OF THE THIRD ORDER REGULAR OF ST. FRANCIS OF ALLEGANY, N.Y. d/b/a FRANCISCAN SISTERS OF ST. ALLEGANY.

Defendants.

To the abovenamed Defendants

Index No.:

Plaintiff Designates
NEW YORK
County as the place of trial

The basis of venue is the Defendant PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS

SUMMONS

Plaintiff reside at

County of NEW YORK

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, and the Plaintiff's Attorney within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: September 3, 2019 New York, New York

> Patrick Noaker **NOAKER LAW FIRM LLC** 1600 Utica Avenue S., 9th Floor St. Louis Park, MN 55416 (952) 491-6798

patrick@noakerlaw.com

Stephan H. Peskin

TOLMAGE, PESKIN, HARRIS & FALICK

20 Vesey Street, 7th Floor New York, NY 10007 (212) 964-1390

peskin@tolmagepeskinlaw.com

Leander L. James, IV Craig Vernon

JAMES, VERNON & WEEKS P.A.

1626 Lincoln Way Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815 (208) 667-0683 ljames@jvwlaw.net

cvernon@jvwlaw.net

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

Defendant's Addresses:

Archdiocese of New York 1011 First Avenue New York, NY 10022

Church of Holy Cross 600 Southview Avenue Bronx, NY 10473

Franciscan Friars – Holy Name Province (NY) 129 West 31st Street, 2nd Floor New York, NY 10001

Sisters of the Third Order Regular of St. Francis of Allegany, NY 115 East Main Street Allegany, NY 14706

Notice: The object of this action is to recover damages for personal injuries

The relief sought: is monetary damages in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts.

Upon your failure to appear, judgment will be taken against you by default for compensatory damages, costs and for such further and other relief as the Court deems proper in a sum as set by the Court after inquest.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

SUPREME COURT STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
-----X Index No.:
JOHN GRIFFITH,

Plaintiff,

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

-against-

NEW YORK ARCHDIOCESE, CHURCH OF THE HOLY CROSS, AND FRANCISCAN FRIARS – HOLY NAME PROVINCE (NY), SISTERS OF THE THIRD ORDER REGULAR OF ST. FRANCIS OF ALLEGANY, N.Y. d/b/a FRANCISCAN SISTERS OF ST. ALLEGANY,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, John Griffith, by and through undersigned counsel, complaining of the Defendants, upon information and belief, alleges as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- This Complaint arises from the sexual battery of Plaintiff by Fr. Edward Greene, on or about 1965 and 1966. This incident occurred while Plaintiff was a parishioner and student at Church of the Holy Cross.
- 2. Plaintiff John Griffith is an adult resident of the State of New York and is otherwise *sui juris*.
- 3. Defendant New York Archdiocese is a Roman Catholic Diocese and is an unincorporated non-profit business entity licensed to and doing business in the State of New York with a principal place of business at 1011 First Ave., New York, NY 10022.
- 4. Defendant Church of the Holy Cross (hereinafter "Holy Cross") is a Roman Catholic parish within the New York Archdiocese and an unincorporated New York business entity with a principal place of business at 600 Soundview Ave., Bronx, NY 10473.

DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

5. Defendant Franciscan Friars - Holy Name Province (NY) (hereinafter "Franciscan Friars") is a Catholic religious order and a non-profit business entity conducting business in the State of New York with its principal place of business at 129 West 31st St., 2nd Floor, New York, NY 10001.

- 6. Defendant Sisters of the Third Order Regular of St. Francis of Allegany, N.Y. d/b/a/ Franciscan Sisters of St. Allegany (hereinafter "Franciscan Sisters") is a Catholic religious order and a non-profit business entity with a principal place of business at 115 East Main St., Allegany, New York 14706.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Court because the Defendant Archdiocese has its principal place of business in New York and the acts and omissions giving rise to this Complaint occurred in New York County.
- 8. The provisions of Section 1602 of the CPLR do not apply to the within action including nondelegable duty and/or the doctrine of respondeat superior.
- 9. Plaintiff brings this suit within the extended time period as provided for in Sections 208 and 214-G of the Civil Practice Law.
- 10. Jurisdiction is proper because this Complaint seeks monetary damages in excess of \$25,000.00, exclusive of interest, costs, and attorney's fees.
- 11. At all times material, Fr. Edward Greene was an ordained Roman Catholic priest employed by and an agent of Defendants New York Archdiocese, Holy Cross, and Franciscan Friars from 1965 to 1966.
- 12. Fr. Edward Greene attended St. Joseph's Seraphic Seminary in Callicoon, New York, as a candidate for the Franciscan Commissariat of the Holy Land, headquartered in Washington D.C.

09/04/2019 COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

13. On August 15, 1941, Fr. Edward Greene was received into the Franciscan Friars of St. John the Baptist Province and professed temporary vows on August 16, 1942.

- 14. Fr. Edward Greene took his sacred orders with the Franciscan Friars, including solemn profession of vows on June 1, 1945 and conferral of priesthood on June 17, 1945.
- 15. According to the Official Catholic Directory, from 1946 to 1957, Fr. Edward Greene was assigned to the Franciscan Novitiate at Holy Name, Washington, D.C.
- Upon information and belief, on or about 1945 to 1951, Fr. Edward Greene was 16. assigned to the Holy Land on a 6-year missionary obedience, where he worked as a teacher in Nazareth and as an auxiliary chaplain with the British Army.
- 17. From on or about 1952 to 1953, Fr. Edward Greene was assigned as Procurator at St. Bonaventure's Monastery in Paterson, New Jersey in the Diocese of Paterson.
- From on or about 1954 to on or about 1959, Fr. Edward Greene was assigned to 18. Bishop Timon High School in Buffalo, New York in the Diocese of Buffalo.
- 19. From 1960 to 1973, Fr. Edward Greene was assigned to Church of the Holy Cross in the Bronx, New York in the Archdiocese of New York.
- From 1974 to 1979, Fr. Edward Greene was assigned as an auxiliary pastor to St. 20. Stephan of Hungary in New York City, New York in the Archdiocese of New York.
- 21. From on or about 1980 to 1998, Fr. Edward Greene was assigned as pastor to St. George Church in Jeffersonville, New York in the Archdiocese of New York.
- 22. From on or about 1980 to 1998, Fr. Edward Greene was assigned as pastor to St. Francis of Assisi Church in Youngsville, New York in the Archdiocese of New York.
- Upon information and belief, Fr. Edward Greene was assigned as Episcopal Vicar 23. of Sullivan County in the Archdiocese of New York.

DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

24. Upon information and belief, Fr. Edward Greene died on May 8, 1998.

25. At all times relevant, Defendants New York Archdiocese and/or Holy Cross were

the legal owner and/or tenant/occupier of the church located at 600 Soundview Ave. Bronx, NY

10473.

26. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant, Defendants Archdiocese of New

York, Holy Cross, and/or Franciscan Sisters operated Defendant Holy Cross' school, where the

sexual abuse of Plaintiff occurred.

27. By holding Fr. Edward Greene out as safe to work with children, and by

undertaking the custody, supervision of, and/or care of the minor Plaintiff, Defendants entered into

a special relationship with the minor Plaintiff. As a result of Plaintiff being a minor, and by

Defendant's undertaking the care and guidance of the then vulnerable Plaintiff, Plaintiff was

uniquely vulnerable, without his parents and incapable of self-protection.

28. Furthermore, Defendants, by holding themselves out as being able to provide a safe

environment for children, solicited and/or accepted this position of empowerment. This

empowerment prevented the Plaintiff from effectively protecting himself, and Defendants thus

entered into a special relationship with Plaintiff. By holding themselves out as a safe, moral, and

trusted institution to Plaintiff's parents, Defendants induced Plaintiff's parents to entrust their child

to Defendants and thereby deprived Plaintiff of the protection of his family.

29. At all times material, Fr. Edward Greene's sexual abuse of Plaintiff was

foreseeable. The problem of clergy sexual abuse of minors is well-documented throughout the

history of the Roman Catholic Church. As far back as 1051, St. Peter Damian wrote in the Book

of Gomorrah that clergy who defiled boys should be dismissed from holy orders. (Book of

Gomorrah, Ch. 6). Later, St. Peter Damian wrote in his Rule of the Monastery of Compludo, about

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

the punishment for "A cleric or monk who seduces youths or young boys" being public flogging,

loss of tonsure and six months in jail, among other punishment. In 1143 or 1144, a professor at

the University of Bologna named Gratian, known as the "Father of the Science of Canon Law,"

identified in his work the Decretum, the sexual sin by a priest that he called stuprum pueri, which

is the sexual use of boys by an adult male.

30. In 1961, the Vatican issued an instruction on the training of candidates for the

priesthood, which was based upon the 1917 Code of Canon Law which stated:

Advancement to religious vows and ordination should be barred to those who are afflicted

with evil tendencies to homosexuality or pederasty, since for them the common life and

priestly ministry would constitute serious dangers.

31. This knowledge that Catholic clergy were sexually abusing minors continued

through the middle ages and into recent history. In 1962, Pope John XXIII approved the

publication De Modo Procedendi in Causis Solicitationis, a special procedural law for solicitation

of sex in the confessional. This document contained prohibitions prohibiting clergy from having

sex with minors under the age of sixteen. This document was distributed to every bishop and

major religious superior in the world and was to be kept by them with the deepest secrecy. In

addition, this document reflected the Catholic Church's insistence on maintaining the highest

degree of secrecy regarding the worst sexual crimes perpetrated by clergy.

32. In 1947, a priest named Fr. Gerald Fitzgerald founded a religious order of priests

called the Servants of the Paracletes. This religious order was founded in order to assist and treat

Catholic clergy who experienced mental health problems. By 1952, Fr. Fitzgerald wrote that he

had already treated a handful of priests who had sexually abused minors. By 1963, the Paracletes

were treating so many sexually abusive clergy that they developed a shorthand code, "code 3," to

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

describe the offense. By 1966, the Paracletes began specializing in treatment of pedophile Catholic clergy.

- 33. As early as 1971, the issue of sexual misconduct by clergy was being discussed in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Bishop Bernard Flanagan, Bishop of Worchester (Massachusetts) testified that as early as February 1971, there had been discussions about sexual misconduct among priests. According to Bishop Flanagan, "I think by 1971 I had heard of other cases of this type [sic] sexual misconduct and I knew that they were taking place in other dioceses too."
- 34. That same year, Dr. Conrad Baars and Dr. Anna Terruwe presented a scholarly paper titled The Role of the Church in the Causation, Treatment and Prevention of the Crisis in the Priesthood" to the 1971 Synod of Bishops at the Vatican and to the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops about psychiatric problems in Catholic clergy and how psychosexual immaturity manifested itself in heterosexual and homosexual activity.
- 35. In 1990, psychologist and priest, A.W. Richard Sipe, published a study involving 1,500 priests that concluded that six (6) percent of priests were sexually involved with minors.
- 36. In 1985, the public prosecution of a priest in Lafayette, Louisiana led to the creation of the 100-page document titled "The Problem of Sexual Molestation by Roman Catholic Clergy: Meeting the Problem in a Comprehensive and Responsible Manner" by Fr. Thomas Doyle, F. Ray Mouton and Fr./Dr. Michael Peterson. This document was distributed to every Catholic Bishop and religious order ordinary in the United States. A significant portion of this document describes how significant that the sexual abuse of children by Catholic clergy had become.
- 37. Defendants allowed Fr. Edward Greene to have unsupervised and unlimited access to minor children, at Holy Cross in Bronx, New York, located at the time within the New York

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

Archdiocese.

38. At all times material, Fr. Edward Greene was employed by Defendants New York

Archdiocese, Holy Cross, Franciscan Friars, and Franciscan Sisters.

39. At all times material, Fr. Edward Greene remained under the direct supervision,

employ, and control of the Defendants New York Archdiocese, Holy Cross, Franciscan Friars, and

Franciscan Sisters.

40. Upon information and belief, before Plaintiff was sexually abused by Fr. Edward

Greene, Defendants had actual or constructive knowledge of material facts regarding Fr. Edward

Greene's sexual misconduct, impulses, and behavior.

41. Despite clear indications of danger, Defendants took no steps to discover the

specific nature of Fr. Edward Greene's problems or to determine whether he was fit to work with

children or to protect children from him, thereby increasing the likelihood that Plaintiff would be

harmed.

42. Plaintiff was raised in a devout Roman Catholic family, regularly celebrated mass,

received the sacraments, and participated in church-related activities. Plaintiff, therefore,

developed great admiration, trust, reverence, and respect for the Roman Catholic Church and its

agents, the Archdiocese of New York and its agents, including the Archbishop, and Fr. Edward

Greene.

43. Defendants held Fr. Edward Greene out as a qualified Roman Catholic priest, and

undertook the education, religious instruction, and spiritual and emotional guidance of Plaintiff,

The Archbishop exercised a direct role over Plaintiff. Accordingly, Plaintiff placed trust in

Defendants so that Defendants and their agents gained superiority and influence over Plaintiff.

Defendants entered into a special relationship with the Plaintiff and his family.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

44. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because it assumed duties owed to Plaintiff and had superior knowledge about the risk that Fr. Edward Greene posed to Plaintiff, the risk of abuse in general in its programs, and/or the risks that their facilities posed to minor children. Defendants had the duty to protect the moral purity of Plaintiff and other Roman Catholic children within the Archdiocese of New York.

- 45. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because they assumed that duty and because they solicited youth and parents for participation in its youth programs.
- 46. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because they undertook custody of minor children, including Plaintiff.
- 47. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because they promoted its facilities and programs as being safe for children.
- 48. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because they held out its agents including Fr. Edward Greene as safe to work with children.
- 49. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because they encouraged parents and children to spend time with its agents; and/or encouraged its agents, including Fr. Edward Greene, to spend time with, interact with, and recruit children.
- 50. Defendants had a duty to Plaintiff to protect him from harm because Defendants' actions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff.
 - 51. Defendants breached their duties by exposing Plaintiff to a known pedophile.
- 52. Defendants breached their duties by exposing Plaintiff to a priest Defendants knew or should have known was a pedophile.
- 53. Defendants breached their duties by recruiting, hiring, and maintaining Fr. Edward Greene in a position of authority over children.

09/04/2019 COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

54. Defendants breached their duties by exposing Fr. Edward Greene to children.

55. Defendants breached their duties by leaving Fr. Edward Greene alone with children unsupervised.

- 56. Defendants breached their duties by inducing Plaintiff and his parents to entrust Plaintiff to Fr. Edward Greene.
- 57. Defendants breached their duties by failing to follow policies and procedures designed to prevent child sex abuse and/or failing to implement sufficient policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse.
- 58. Defendants breached their duties by failing to take reasonable measures to make sure that policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse were working.
- 59. Defendants breached their duties by failing to adequately inform families and children of the known risks of child sex abuse within the Archdiocese of New York.
- 60. Defendants breached their duties by holding out their employees and agents, including Fr. Edward Greene, as safe and wholesome for children to be with.
 - 61. Defendants breached their duties by failing to investigate risks of child molestation.
- 62. Defendants breached their duties by failing to properly train the workers at institutions and programs within Defendants' geographical confines.
- 63. Defendants breached their duties by failing to have any outside agency test their safety procedures.
- 64. Defendants breached their duties by failing to protect the children in their programs from child sex abuse; failing to adhere to the applicable standard of care for child safety.
- 65. Defendants breached their duties by failing to investigate the amount and type of information necessary to represent the institutions, programs, and leaders and people as safe.

DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

66. Defendants breached their duties by failing to respond to and/or investigate information of improper conduct of employee or agent with children, including Fr. Edward Greene.

- 67. Defendants breached their duties by failing to properly train their employees to identify signs of child molestation by fellow employees.
- 68. Defendants breached their duty to use ordinary care in determining whether their facilities were safe and/or to determine whether they had sufficient information to represent their facilities as safe.
- 69. Defendants breached their duty of care by recruiting, hiring, and maintaining Fr. Edward Greene at their facilities.
- 70. Defendants breached their duty of care by maintaining a dangerous condition on the premises of their facilities (i.e., a priest Defendants knew or should have known posed a risk of pedophilic harm to children).
- 71. Defendants breached their duty of care by holding out their facilities as a safe and moral place for children, which they were not.
- 72. Defendants breached their duty of care by failing to have sufficient policies and procedures to prevent abuse at their facilities.
- 73. Defendants breached their duty of care by failing to investigate risks at their facilities.
- 74. Defendants breached their duty of care by failing to properly train the workers at their facilities.
- 75. Defendants breached their duty of care by failing to investigate the amount and type of information necessary to represent their facilities as safe.

09/04/2019 COUNTY CLERK

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

76. Defendants breached their duty of care by and failing to train their employees properly to identify signs of child molestation by fellow employees.

- 77. Defendants breached their duties to Plaintiff by holding out clergy members, including Fr. Edward Greene, as safe, moral, and trustworthy people and by failing to warn Plaintiff and his family of the risk that Fr. Edward Greene posed and the known risks of child sexual abuse by clerics in general.
- 78. Defendants also failed to warn Plaintiff about any of the knowledge that the Defendants had about child sex abuse perpetrated by clergy or Fr. Edward Greene.
- 79. Defendants breached their duties to Plaintiff by failing to report Fr. Edward Greene's abuse of children to the police and law enforcement.
- 80. Defendants further breached their duties by hiding a pedophile and engaging in a cover-up of abuse perpetrated by Fr. Edward Greene.
- 81. Defendants knew or should have known that some of the leaders and people working at Catholic institutions within the Archdiocese of New York were not safe for children.
- Defendants knew or should have known that they did not have sufficient 82. information about whether or not their leaders and people working at Catholic institutions within the Archdiocese of New York were safe around children.
- 83. Defendants knew or should have known that there was a risk of child sex abuse for children participating in Catholic programs and activities within the Archdiocese of New York.
- 84. Defendants knew or should have known that they did not have sufficient information about whether or not there was a risk of child sex abuse for children participating in Catholic programs and activities within the Archdiocese of New York.
 - 85. Defendants knew or should have known that they had other agents who had

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

sexually molested children. Defendants knew or should have known that child molesters have a high rate of recidivism. Defendants knew or should have known that there was a specific danger of child sex abuse for children participating in Defendants' youth programs.

- 86. Defendants held their leaders and agents out as people of high morals, as possessing immense power, teaching families and children to obey these leaders and agents, teaching families and children to respect and revere these leaders and agents, soliciting youth and families to their programs, schools, marketing to youth and families, recruiting youth and families, and holding out the people that worked in the programs as safe for children/youth.
- Defendants made negligent representations to Plaintiff and his family during each 87. and every year of his minority. Plaintiff and/or his family relied upon these representations, which resulted in Plaintiff being put in a vulnerable situation with Fr. Edward Greene who harmed him.
- In approximately 1965 to 1966, when Plaintiff was approximately 6 to 7 years old, 88. Plaintiff was a parishioner and student at Holy Cross. At about this same time, Fr. Edward Greene sexually abused Plaintiff.
- 89. Fr. Edward Greene engaged in unpermitted, harmful, and offensive sexual contact with the Plaintiff on the physical premises of and around Holy Cross. Fr. Edward Greene sexually assaulted Plaintiff when Plaintiff was a minor and without Plaintiff's consent.
- 90. Defendants allowed Fr. Edward Greene to have unsupervised and unlimited access to young children at Holy Cross located at the time within the New York Archdiocese.
- 91. At all times material, Fr. Edward Greene was employed by, or an agent of, Defendants New York Archdiocese, Holy Cross, and Franciscan Friars.
- 92. At all times material, Fr. Edward Greene was on duty as a priest 24 hours per day, 7 days per week.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

93. At all times material, Fr. Edward Greene remained under the direct supervision, employ, and control of the Defendants New York Archdiocese, Holy Cross, Franciscan Friars, and Franciscan Sisters.

- 94. At all times material, Defendants had the right to control the means and manner of Fr. Edward Greene's performance.
- 95. At all times material, Defendants paid Fr. Edward Greene's salary and paid for Fr. Edward Greene's health insurance and other benefits.
- 96. At all times material, Defendants furnished an office and other materials, supplies, and tools required for Fr. Edward Greene to perform in his position as a priest.
- 97. At all times material, Defendants controlled the premises where Fr. Edward Greene performed as a priest.
- 98. At all times material, Defendants had the power to terminate the employment of Fr. Edward Greene.
- 99. Upon information and belief, before Plaintiff was sexually abused by Fr. Edward Greene, Defendants had actual or constructive knowledge of material facts regarding Fr. Edward Greene's sexual misconduct, impulses, and behavior, but failed to act on that knowledge and exposed Plaintiff as a child to Fr. Edward Greene, thereby increasing the likelihood that Plaintiff would be harmed.
- 100. As a direct result of Defendants' negligence, breached duties, the sexual abuse, sexual exploitation, and Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer great pain of mind and body, severe and permanent emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, humiliation and psychological injuries, was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing him normal daily activities and

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

obtaining the full enjoyment of life, has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling all to this Plaintiff's damage in excess of the jurisdiction of all lower courts.

AS FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR/VICARIOUS LIABILITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK, HOLY CROSS, FRANCISCAN FRIARS, AND FRANCISCAN SISTERS

- 101. Plaintiff realleges, incorporates and restates all previous paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.
- 102. Among other duties, Defendants employed Fr. Edward Greene to operate programs. including youth, altar boy and spiritual counseling programs at Holy Cross.
- 103. Defendants created a master-servant relationship with Fr. Edward Greene, employing him to interact and supervise children participating in programs at Holy Cross.
- The unwanted contact by Fr. Edward Greene upon Plaintiff occurred during his 104. regular working hours and at the place of his employment with Defendants while performing duties of a priest on behalf of his employers.
- 105. The sexual contact by Fr. Edward Greene occurred in the course and scope of his employment with Defendants.
 - 106. The sexual contact by Fr. Edward Greene was generally foreseeable to Defendants.
- 107. The sexual contact by Fr. Edward Greene was closely connected to what he was employed to do as a priest with Defendants, and/or was otherwise naturally incidental to his job duties.
- Fr. Edward Greene's conduct was motivated, at least in part, by a desire to serve 108. his employer's business interests or otherwise meet the objectives of his employment, however misguided.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

109. Alternatively, Fr. Edward Greene's conduct constituted an authorized, minor deviation from his employment that was authorized and/or ratified by Defendants.

110. As a direct and proximate result of Fr. Edward Greene's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damages for which his employer is now liable.

AS FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT HIRING, RETENTION AND SUPERVISION AGAINST THE ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK, HOLY CROSS, FRANCISCAN FRIARS, AND FRANCISCAN SISTERS

111. Plaintiff realleges, incorporates and restates all previous paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.

112. At all material times, Defendants Archdiocese of New York, Holy Cross, Franciscan Friars, and Franciscan Sisters, by and through their agents, managers, employees, and directors owed a duty to Plaintiff to use reasonable care to protect his safety, care, well-being and health while he was under the care, custody or in the presence of the Defendants. These duties encompassed the use of reasonable care in the hiring, retention and supervision of Fr. Edward Greene and otherwise providing a safe environment for children.

113. Prior to the sexual misconduct perpetrated by Fr. Edward Greene upon Plaintiff, Defendants Archdiocese of New York, Holy Cross, Franciscan Friars, and Franciscan Sisters knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care, should have known, of the general problem of Catholic clergy engaging in sexual misconduct with children who were in the Archdiocese of New York, Holy Cross, and Franciscan Friars programs.

114. Prior to the sexual misconduct perpetrated by Fr. Edward Greene upon Plaintiff, Defendants Archdiocese of New York, Holy Cross, Franciscan Friars, and Franciscan Sisters knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care, should have known, that Fr. Edward Greene was unfit for the duties assigned to him, that he did not exhibit appropriate behavior with children, and

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

otherwise posed a risk of perpetrating unwanted sexual contact upon children.

115. Given actual or constructive knowledge of Fr. Edward Greene's dangerous

propensities specifically, the Defendants had a duty to act reasonably in all decisions relating to

his hiring, supervision, and retention as an employee.

116. Defendants failed to exercise reasonable care in one or more of their decisions to

hire, supervise, and retain Fr. Edward Greene and therefore exposed Plaintiff to an unreasonable

risk of harm.

117. Defendants Archdiocese of New York, Holy Cross, Franciscan Friars, and

Franciscan Sisters affirmed and ratified Fr. Edward Greene's misconduct with Plaintiff. Given the

actual and constructive knowledge of the likelihood that Fr. Edward Greene and/or other clergy

would engage children in unwanted sexual contact, the unwanted sexual contact of Plaintiff was

reasonably foreseeable to Defendants Archdiocese of New York, Holy Cross, Franciscan Friars,

and Franciscan Sisters.

118. Defendants Archdiocese of New York, Holy Cross, Franciscan Friars, and

Franciscan Sisters and their agents had superior knowledge of the likelihood that Fr. Edward

Greene would engage in unwanted sexual contact with clients that he encountered in his position

as a priest and had a duty to take precautions to lessen the risk that Plaintiff would be the victim

of unwanted sexual contact.

119. At all relevant times, Defendants' acts and omissions created an environment which

fostered unwanted sexual contact and exploitation against the people it had a duty to protect,

including Plaintiff.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

120. At all relevant times, Defendants had inadequate policies and procedures to protect

children entrusted to their care and protection, including Plaintiff, which substantially contributed

to the creation of a dangerous environment.

121. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, Plaintiff suffered severe and permanent psychological, emotional and physical injuries, shame, humiliation and the inability to lead a normal life, and has incurred and/or will incur costs for treatment and will

AS FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENCE/PREMISES LIABILITY AGAINST ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK, HOLY CROSS, AND FRANCISCAN FRIARS

continue to do so in the future. These injuries are permanent and ongoing in nature.

122. Plaintiff realleges, incorporates and restates all previous paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.

123. Plaintiff was a business invitee of Defendants when Fr. Edward Greene engaged him in unwanted sexual contact.

- 124. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty to protect him from dangerous conditions on their premises that they knew about, or in the exercise of reasonable care could have discovered.
- 125. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty to provide a reasonably safe environment where he would be free from the threat of unwanted sexual contact while on Defendants' premises.
- 126. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty to take reasonable precautions to ensure his safety while on the premises of Defendants.
- 127. Prior to the sexual misconduct perpetrated by Fr. Edward Greene upon Plaintiff, Defendants knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care, should have known, of the general problem of priests and other clergy engaging in sexual misconduct with children.
 - 128. Prior to the sexual misconduct perpetrated by Fr. Edward Greene upon Plaintiff,

NVCCEE DOC NO 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

Defendants knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care, should have known, that Fr. Edward

Greene was unfit for the intimate duties assigned to him, that he did not exhibit appropriate

behavior with children, and otherwise posed a risk of perpetrating unwanted sexual contact upon

children.

129. Defendants breached the duty owed to Plaintiff by failing to make the premises

reasonably safe for Plaintiff despite what they knew or should have known about the existence of

a potential threat of harm to Plaintiff on their premises.

130. Defendants breached the duty they owed to Plaintiff by failing to warn Plaintiff of

the dangers and risks involved in participating in programs at Holy Cross given their superior

knowledge of the potential risk of harm to Plaintiff.

131. At all relevant times, Defendants had inadequate policies and procedures to protect

children entrusted to their care and protection, including Plaintiff, which substantially contributed

to the creation of a dangerous environment.

132. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, Plaintiff suffered

severe and permanent psychological, emotional and physical injuries, shame, humiliation and the

inability to lead a normal life, and has incurred and/or will incur costs for treatment and will

continue to do so in the future. These injuries are permanent and ongoing in nature.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants for a sum in excess

of the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts on each and every Cause of Action stated above,

together with the costs and disbursements and other expenses necessary in this action.

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 09/04/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

New York, New York

Dated: September 3, 2019

Yours, etc.,

Patrick Noaker

NOAKER LAW FIRM LLC

Patrel Mooken

1600 Utica Avenue S., 9th Floor St. Louis Park, MN 55416 Telephone: (952) 491-6798

patrick@noakerlaw.com

and

Stephan H. Peskin

TOLMAGE, PESKIN, HARRIS & FALICK

20 Vesey Street, 7th Floor New York, NY 10007 Telephone: (212) 964-1390 peskin@tolmagepeskinlaw.com

and

Leander L. James, IV Craig Vernon JAMES, VERNON & WEEKS P.A. 1626 Lincoln Way Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815

Telephone: (208) 667-0683 ljames@jvwlaw.net cvernon@jvwlaw.net

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950123/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/04/2019

VERIFICATION

STATE OF New York)

COUNTY OF New York)

JOHN GRIFFITH being duly sworn, deposes and says: I am the Plaintiff in this action, I have read the foregoing **COMPLAINT** and know the contents thereof; the same is true to my own knowledge, except as to those matters therein to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

JOHN GRIFFITH

Sworn to and sworn before me this

29 day of Ausust , 2019

Notary Public

MIGUEL MADERA

NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF NEW YORK

No 01MA6381057

Qualified in New York County

My Commission Expires 09-24-2022